Interview Summary

Application No. **09/540,524**

Applicant(s)

Jose Tamez-Pena et al.,

Examiner

Tiffany A. Fetzner

Art Unit

2862



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) <u>Tiffany A. Fetzner</u>	(3) Inventor Dr. Kevin J. Parker
(2) Attorney David J. Edmondson Reg. No. 35,126	(4)
Date of Interview	
Date of filterview Jul 16, 2002	
Type: a) 🛚 Telephonic b) 🖳 Tideo Conference c) 🗌 Personal [copy is given to 1) 📑 applicant 2)	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) _Yes e)	Mo. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 11, and 12	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Freundlich et al.,	
	·
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)	X as not reached. h) N∕A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	
A telephone Interview was conducted with the examiner on July 2nd 2002 with applicant's attorney, which addressed the	
issues from the March 28th 2002 office action. Applicant propos	sed a possible draft amendment to the claims, and a telephone
interview with one of the inventors and the examiner. The proposed draft was received by the examiner and the interview was	
held on July 16th 2002, the inventor present was Dr. Parker, in the telephone interview with: the examiner, the inventor Dr.	
Parker, and the applicant's representative, it was acknowledged by all parties present that Figures 1 through 4, of the Freundlich et al., reference did currently suggest, and/or show the independent claims as originally filed because the	
distinguishing features of applicant's invention, from the disclose claims as filed. Applicant expressed a desire to rectify this issue	
claims as filed. Applicant expressed a desire to rectify this issue, and the examiner agreed to consider any supplemental amendment filed. Agreement to the claims was not reached because the proposed claims were "draft" only.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	
i) 🔀 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate re	ecord of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	
Examine Than I	
Examin: Whan Jegar AU 2862 703-305-0430	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required